

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/054,687	01/22/2002	Thaddeus J. Gabara	Gabara 81-10-1-14 5163		
22186 7590 08/01/2007 MENDELSOHN AND ASSOCIATES, P.C. 1500 JOHN F. KENNEDY BLVD., SUTIE 405			EXAMINER		
			AGHDAM, FRESHTEH N		
PHILADELPH	HIA, PA 19102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2611		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/01/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brie	f				

Application No.	Applicant(s)	·	
10/054,687	GABARA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Freshteh N. Aghdam	2611		

	Fresiden N. Agnuam	2011						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 17 July 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completion following time periods: 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31: or					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	l. '	•						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension at CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. Itutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action: or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expine a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	f, will <u>not</u> be entered TE below);	because					
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal, and/or 		educing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.	·					
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ⊠ wvided below or appended.	rill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) objected to: <u>1-4, 7-12 and 15-17</u> . Claim(s) rejected:		·						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence	ot be entered s necessary					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. \$	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).					
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 								
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see attachment. 		n condition for allowa	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
13. Other:								
	.*							
•								

Application/Control Number: 10/054,687

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see page 2, filed 7/17/2007, with respect to the rejection of claims 16 and 17 under 35 U.S.C. 101 and 112 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejections of claims 16 and 17 have been withdrawn.

Applicant's argument regarding the 35 U.S.C. 103 filed 7/17/2007 have been fully considered but it is not persuasive.

Applicant's Argument(s): Regarding claim 1, page 3, applicant argues that the instant application's disclosed prior art does not disclose selecting a maximum combined probability for two or more transitions to the current state, but rather, a maximum probability for a single transition to the current state.

Examiner's Response: Regarding the argument set forth above, the examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant because the combination of the instant application's disclosed prior art and Hyashi teach the claim limitation since the instant application's disclosed prior art discloses updating the forward probability for a state comprises selecting the maximum combined probability (e.g. combined probabilities of transition from one or more states at k-1 to current states at time k) for transitions to the current state given by equations 8-9 (Pg. 3) and Hayashi discloses that the trellis diagram provides combined probabilities of transition from one or more states at k-N, N is an integer greater than 1, to current states at time k as the set of forward probabilities (Fig. 10; Col. 2, Lines 64-67; Col. 3, Lines 1-17).

Application/Control Number: 10/054,687

Art Unit: 2611

Conclusion

Page 3

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Freshteh N. Aghdam whose telephone number is 571-272-6037. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on 571-272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Freshteh Aghdam Examiner Art Unit 2611

July 23, 2007

CHIEH M. FAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER